An Architecture to Support Learning, Awareness, and Transparency in Social Software Engineering

doi:10.3991/ijet.v5s1.1194

Wolfgang Reinhardt and Sascha Rinne University of Paderborn, Paderborn, Germany

Abstract—Classical tools for supporting software engineering teams (collaborative development environment, CDE) are designed to support one team during the development of a product. Often the required data sources or experts reside outside of the internal project team and thus not provided by these CDEs. This paper describes an approach for a community-embedded CDE (CCDE), which is capable of handling multiple projects of several organizations, providing inter-project knowledge sharing and developer awareness. The presented approach uses the mashup pattern to integrate multiple data sources in order to provide software teams with an exactingly development environment.

Index Terms—Learning Systems, Knowledge Management, Cooperative Development Environments, Learning Communities

I. INTRODUCTION

Traditional cl ichés abo ut so ftware devel opers l oose their validity more and more. Times, when programmers sat in dark cellars and tried to so lve all problems on their own are over once and for all. In the meantime software engineering has become a very knowl edge-intensive [5] and communicative process (not only but also triggered by agile methods for software development) where the actors heavily exchange data (see Google-Code¹), connect with like-minded (see Go ogle S ummer of C ode^2), bl og about experiences in their own weblogs, provide code s nippets free of charge (see Django-Snippets³) or help novices with words and deeds in large mailing lists. This social software engineering - the creation of soft ware and rel ated artefacts within a social network - gained a lot of attention in recent software engineering research [1,17]. Besides the improvements of i ntegrated devel opment envi ronments (IDE, e. g. Eclipse⁴) or procedure models (e.g. eXt reme Programming [3]) research is addressing improvements of the daily working and learning environments of the developers. The main funct ion of col laborative devel opment environments (CDE) [2] is to support the whole development process of a t eam of soft ware developers from start to finish. This includes version control of code artefacts as well as process docum entation, c oordination of t asks or support for division of labour.

CDEs usual ly are set up for one speci fic project; the possibilities for in ter-project-collaboration within an organization with multiple software projects are very limited because the single CDEs are not able to exchange data.

² <u>http://code.google.com/soc/</u>

Furthermore many developers are using data pools (bulletin boards, developer communities, mailing lists and a lot more) outside the organization in order to solve a specific problem. Furthermore existing CDEs lack in pr oviding a transparent view on the progress of a project, awareness of developers' c ompetencies and su pport for individual i nformal learning processes.

This paper de scribes an approach for a *community-embedded CDE* (CCDE), which is capable of han dling multiple projects of several organizations, providing interproject knowledge sharing and developer awareness. The presented a pproach uses the mashup pattern to integrate multiple data sources i n or der to provide so ftware teams with an exact ingly devel opment environment. Furt hermore we present requirements for a community of devel-opers and sketch a first prototypical architecture for such a CCDE.

II. RELATED WORK

The goal of this sec tion is to behold the main aspects enlisted in the conception and implementation of a CCDE in order to derivate functional and technical requirements. Furthermore this sect ion serves for de finition and dissociation of the used terms.

A. Knowledge Management and Learning in Software Engineering

The different facets of the concept of knowledge have been discussed for over 2000 years now. Based on a fuzzy understanding of kn owledge several theories for kn owledge management came up and rai sed the idea of sim ply exchanging k nowledge bet ween i ndividuals or or ganizations (among others [8]). It is probably the most important assessment to be made in this context that , you cannot store knowledge" [7] as in interpersonal communication only data is exchanged. Information emerges by interpreting this d ata with o wn prior kn owledge in the personal context. In formation t hen is t he foundation for personal actions and decisions. So knowledge is first of all a rational capacity and not at ransferable item. POLYANI distinguishes bet ween t acit and expl icit kno wledge, whereas ex plicit knowl edge is st ored in t extbooks, so ftware products and documents, while tacit knowledge is in the mind of people as memory, sk ills, experience and creativity [10]. When tacit knowledge is externalised and transformed into explicit knowledge (properly speaking it is dat a now), we call this implicit knowl edge. Implicit knowledge is of very high value for organisations such as software projects, as it gives hints how t o solve specific problems in the future.

¹ <u>http://code.google.com/</u>

³ <u>http://www.djangosnippets.org/</u>

⁴ <u>http://www.eclipse.org/</u>

Regardless of the am biguous definitions of knowledge and the cl aims for necessi ty and im portance for kn owledge m anagement, soft ware engi neering i s a dy namic process, which is reliant on latest knowledge in the subject domain. Thi s knowledge i s dy namic and evolves wi th technology, organisational culture and c hanging needs of the organisation [9]. Knowledge management in software engineering can be im proved by recognising the need for informal communication and exchange of data in order to support the exchange o f implicit knowledge amongst developers. Learning and working environments thus should support aware ness of developers, s haring of i mplicit knowledge and foster informal, ad hoc exc hange of short messages [6,11] as well as fa cilitating inter-project so cial networks in form of communities of interest.

Informal learning is characterized as a process that does not follow a s pecified curriculum but rather happens by accident, spora dically and na turally during daily interactions and shared relationships. Experience shows that the majority of real learning is informal [4]. Informal learning is what hap pens when t acit kn owledge of a pers on is communicated to another person, which internalizes and interprets the data and thus expands his own knowledge. Examples o f such in formal learn ing situ ations with in software engineering projects are sp ontaneous m eetings, short messages, phone calls but also asynchronous communication lik e en tries in b ulletin b oards, co mments in source code or comments in blogs. As hardly any formal training for developers takes place, in software engineering in formal learning is the only way to stay up to d ate. Previous approaches for supporting ad hoc com munication focus on intra-project improvements and do not include experts from out side the project. Connecting with others and using art efacts from out side the own project seem to be a crucial factor in supporting learning within a project.

B. Social Software Engineering

The term social software engineering denotes both the engineering process of so called social software and the software engineering within social relationship in collaborative teams. For this paper the latter d enotation is the focus of interest.

Studies show, that the main part of m odern so ftware engineering is carried out in teams, requiring strong interactions between the people involved in a project [1,13,14]. Social ac tivity th us rep resents a su bstantial p art of the daily work of a devel oper. Social net work st ructures in social net work si tes (SNSs) em erge by ad ding ex plicit friendship connections between users. B y contrast, social networks in the so ftware engineering mainly result from object-centred soci ality [15]. Devel opers do not j ust communicate with each ot her – they connect through shared artefacts. These social connections norm ally exist only within a project even th ough many of the artefacts used come from outside of the project. The consulted domain specific experts often do not reside with in the own organisation, but in other communities.

C. Collaborative Development Environments

BOOCH and BROWN [2] define a CDE as " a virtual space wherein all stakeholders of a project – even if distributed by time or distance – may negotiate, brainstorm, discuss, share knowledge, and generally labor together to carry out some task, most often to create an executable

deliverable and its supporting artifacts". So CDEs are a virtual working environment whose key functions can be clustered in the fo llowing categ ories: a) coo rdination of developers work, b) co operation of de velopers, and c) formation of a community. CDEs shall create a working environment that tries to keep frictional losses at a minimum. Frictions are costs for setup and launch of the working en vironment, i nefficient cooperation while artefact creation and dead time caused by mutual dependencies of tasks.

BOOCH and BROWN define five several stages of maturity of CDEs [2]; besides simple artefact storage (stage 1) and basic mechanis ms for collaboration (stage 2), advanced art efact management (stage 3), adva nced mechanisms for collaboration (stage 4) the main feature of CDEs on stage 5 is to "encourage a vibrant community of practice" [2].

As the current median is somewhere around stage 1 and 2 [2], it is the goal of our efforts to enhance existing CDEs for single projects with a community component that allows project-spanning col laboration. T his *community-embedded CDE* (CCDE) shall provide the classical functions of a CDE stated above but also allow the seamlessly exchange of a rtefacts [12], data and expertise am ongst projects and d evelopers from multiple project s. The remainder of this paper describes specific requirements for a CCDE and presents an initial architectural design.

III. SOLUTION DESIGN

The following section introduces the requirements for a CCDE to support aware ness and tran sparency in multiproject envi ronments. We define functional and no nfunctional requirements for the CCDE and introduce possible data sources needed in social software engineering projects (SSEP). Finally this section provides a first architectural design of the CCDE *eCopSoft*.

A. Organisational Requirements on a CCDE

As stated in section 2.B, social software engineering is a collaborative development process performed by a team of people that often are separated by time and space [18]. A CCDE aims at closing the gap between the members of a team by providing project awareness and transparency as well as providing options to connect with other developers and teams. From an organi sational point of view a CCDE splits into two parts: I) the developers community and II) the single projects hosted at the CCDE. The requirements for the first part of a CCDE requires methods, services and tools for networking, presentation of contents and exchange of opinions to foster data exchange and the emergence of a community feeling. Thus, a CCDE should be equipped with the typical community features of SNSs like groups, wikis, bulletin boards, user profiles and friend lists. On top of this basic services and tools the community component of a C CDE should offer domain specific areas like a job market for de velopers, an event review and a ne ws corner for trending development topics. All services and tools of the developer community are to ensure the shared identity of developers, the sharing of news and opinions as well as the start of new projects.

The second important parts of a CCDE are the project spaces. A project space is basically the home of a hosted project on the CCDE. A proj ect space has to sup port the members of t he project in collaborative and coor dinative



tasks. With our CCDE we claim to foster transparency and awareness of collaborative pr ojects, for what reason a project space m ust provide fundamental tools such as wikis, e-mails, repository, bug tracker, and roadmap planning. Further data sources for the deployment in software projects are di scussed i n sect ion 3.B. A ny user o ft he CCDE must be able to start a new project and easily select the required services and tools for his project. The instantiation of the s ingle tools has to take place automatically and without human intervention. Adding new developers to a project must be possible in various ways: ei ther the members of the project are selected a priori by the creator of the project or added to the project afterwards. For the latter one i t i s important t o di scern bet ween p ublic and private projects. It must be possible to allow anyone to contribute to a project (public) or to approve new developers for the project. The creator must be abl e to broadcast his search for new developers to the community (e.g. by sending a microblogging message or adding an entry in a bulletin board) and also to browse the existing developers in order to directly ask them to join the project.

B. Data sources in software engineering projects

The pot ential data sources rel evant for sof tware engineering project are manifold. This section tries to identify the m ost important resources to sup port co llaborative software engineering in the project spaces of the CCDE.

The selection of dat a sources that are applicable in a CCDE is essentially dependent on the availa ble interfaces of the respective backend syste ms. It is cru cial that th e applicable data sources provide interfaces (e.g. open APIs) that allow the installation, configuration and query of data without sweeping adaptations of the data sources. To integrate a ne w data source in t he project spaces the im plementation and upl oad t o the server of a n ew connector module is sufficient.

Basically we n eed to distinct between data sources or systems that incorporate coordination activities and those that incorporate communication activities of the development team. The l atter is to be di stinguished bet ween informal and formal communication [18]. I nformal communication is considered as explicit communication via diverse communication channels such as telephone, video, audio conference, voice mail, e-mail or ot her verbal conversations. Formal conversation refers to explicit communication such as written specification documents, reports, protocols, status meetings or source code [6]. Thus essential systems and t ools to support communication in software en gineering projects i nclude e-m ail, wiki, versi on control systems, blogs, instant messaging or microblogs as well as shared bookm arks and s hared RSS feeds. Also modern communication channels like VoIP or video chat could be part of the communicative toolbox of a project space. Coordi nation activities address syste m-level requirements, objectives, plans and issues. Working with the customer and end users carries them out. To support coordinative activities the following data sources and systems ought to be integrated in a project space: roadm ap planning, i ssue and b ug t racker, c ollaborative calendars, and collaborative to-do lists.

For m any of the dat a source s mentioned wel l-known software systems exist that offer open AP Is. Along with MediaWiki⁵ and St atusNet⁶, several version control sys-

tems and mail servers e xist that can be a possible data source for the integration in a project space. For other data sources (e.g. s hared bookmarks or VoIP) these software systems applicable in a CCD E are still to be found. Besides the open APIs it is also a necessary feature of the data sources that they store their data persistently, so that another person or tool can reuse the respective artefact in another context later.

C. Requirements on a sophisticated Integration Layer

The main duty of an integration layer is to process the data of all connected backend systems in a way that a central and co mprehensive access to all data is possible. By integrating the different data sources into a common layer it will becomes feasible to gain additional information that could not be provided from a single backend system beforehand.

Therefore the integration layer has to be informed about changes in the different backend systems and start an analysis of the changed arte facts consequently. Changes on an artefact in a backend s ystem have to trigger a uniform change event that can be processed and stored by the integration layer. A change event will typically deploy the analysis of the specific artefa ct, which requires the automatic processing of various artefact types like e-mail, wiki articles, source code and m any more. Further on different analyses techniques have to be integrated pursuing different targets. These techniques ought to range from simple stuff like language detection and key word analyses to sophisticated semantically analyses of text ual art efacts and precise source code analyses. The analysis framework has to be highly extensible allowing the later addition of new techniques. All data gained throughout the analysis have to be stored in a central data structure. An efficient design of the data structure aims at fast and precise querying of the data and easy integration.

The integration layer is obliged to enhance a manually entered d eveloper profile with au tomatically g enerated data in order to keep it u p-to-date. To be able to do this and to be able to retrace the chronological sequence in the modifications of an artefact, each user interaction with one of the backend systems has to be stored as an entry in the event lo g o f th e in tegration layer. Ad ditional d ata ex tracted from an event (e.g. path to a source code file, categories of a wiki entry etc.) must be stored in a global data model where artefacts are being connected syste m- and project sp anning. With th is connection it shall b ecome possible to gain additional information about artefacts and developers and to answer specific queries like:

- Who is the main devel oper of a packa ge, class or method?
- Which artefacts from other systems are highly related to the current one?
- Who is an expert in a specific development domain or technique?
- Which developers from the community could be invited to work on a new project?
- What is the expertise of a developer?

D. Architectural design

The req uirements st ated above dem and for a sy stem that allows the connection of various data sources and that

⁶ <u>http://status.net/</u>

⁵ http://www.mediawiki.org

provides multiple interfaces to access the integrated data in various ways. For t hat reason o ur prototypical im plementation *eCopSoft* (event-based coope rative software engineering pl atform) consists of seve ral components on different l ayers (cf. fi g. 1) t hat make use of the typical mashup design pattern: easy and fast integration of m ultiple data source es, done by accessing APIs t o produce results that were not the original reason f or producing the raw source data [16].

There is a cent ral server component (eCopSoft core) that is responsible for harvesting and processing data from all connected data sources on the system layer. The system layer mainly consists of the data sources described in section 3.C. From a technical point of view these systems run au tonomous on a serv er and are connected to the eCopSoft server via their respective APIs. The eCopSoft core processes the data from all data sources, extracts event data and ot her metadata and st ores it in an internal database. Those involved in a project can access the data stored in the backend systems and the additionally generated and ag gregated metadata with various clients on the presentation layer. These tool s connect to s erver via the eCopSoft API.

The *eCopSoft* application is a modular and flexible system that holds administrative and operating data, assures the connection to the backend systems and provides interfaces for accessing the operating data with various clients. Furthermore *eCopSoft* provides a central management for users and projects. The integration layer is the most important component in the *eCopSoft* architecture – all events of the backend systems are proces sed here. Normally an event represents a user in teraction with one of the backend sy stems. The connector modules of t he data sources act as event provider, whereas the e vent consumers in the in tegration layer process these events. Each event h olds in formation ab out the u ser that in itiated the event, the changed art efact, which kind of operation the user was carrying out (e.g. create, update, link...) as well as other event-specific informa tion if required. On arrival of an event at the event cons umers, the event and all con-

الم للاسقشارات

taining in formation are sto red in the event database. The event data is p rocessed by the *eCopSoft* core and used t o update the user profiles in the user profile database. Based on these comprehensive additional data about the usage of and work with artefacts in a development team the cooperative work can be explored in new ways. A visual project dashboard, art efact net works, art efact usage pat terns or expert lists showing individual expertise are enhancing the i ndividual and orga nizational l earning process wi th artefact and user awareness and transparency.

To connect the several data sources with *eCopSoft* a connector m odule will be implemented for each data source. A connector module assures t he creation of t he project-related instances and forwards the operating data from the b ackend syste m to the in tegration layer. The connector modules encapsulate the specific interfaces of the backend systems represent them homogenous at server side. The c reation of events can either be actively tr iggered by a backend system (e.g. by a SVN hook) or passively by periodically query ing the dat a source for new data (e.g. polling a RSS feed). The automatically instantiation of the backend systems is handled via scripts as part of the eCopSoft application. We will script the instantiation of the bac kend systems because most systems do not provide an API for doing that out of the box. Furthermore a scripted instantiation allows various adaptations to meet the specific requirements of the *eCopSoft* architecture.

The clients on the presentation layer can connect to eCopSoft via a web servi ces API. Mediated through the API queries for projects, developers, or artefacts are realisable. These queries can be qualified with additional criteria or weighted. Therewith it is possible to query the system for experts to a specific artefact or all artefacts that a specific developer contributed to. In the first instance we plan three main clients:

- 1. A web-based project home (cf. fig. 2, 3),
- 2. An Eclipse expert view plug-in and
- 3. An admin interface to administer the whole system.



Figure 1. Schematical architecture of eCopSoft





Figure 2. Screenshot of the *eCopSoft* web frontend showing a Trac environment for a project

WEBMAIL	- 🖬 📝 💁 🖂 🖂	O A Filter	Alle 🚺 🔍	0
rdner	Betreff	Absender	Datum 🔺 0	Größe 🏠 🖉 🍙
Posteingang (208)	Anacron job 'cron.daily' on ecopsoft	Anacron	Heute 06:39	1 KB
Entwürfe	exim paniclog on ecopsoft.cs.uni-paderborn.	de has root	Heute 06:37	1021 B
Gesendet	Cron <root@ecopsoft> [-d /usr/share/dtc/a</root@ecopsoft>	admin 1root@ecopsoft.cs.uni-p	derborn Sa 23:34	1 KB
Gelöscht (1437)	Anacron job 'cron.daily' on ecopsoft	Anacron	Sa 06:48	1 KB
Junk-E-Mail (1)	exim paniclog on ecopsoft.cs.uni-paderborn.	de has root	Sa 06:45	1021 8
test	Cron <root@ecopsoft> [-d /usr/share/dtc/adr</root@ecopsoft>	min] && root@ecopsoft.cs.uni-pa	derborn.d Fr 23:34	1 KB
	 Anacron job 'cron.daily' on ecopsoft 	Anacron	Fr 06:41	1 K8
	 exim paniclog on ecopsoft.cs.uni-paderborn.de 	has no root	Fr 06:38	1021 B
	Cron <root@ecopsoft> [-d /usr/share/dtc//</root@ecopsoft>	admin 1root@ecopsoft.cs.uni-p	derborn Do 23:34	1 KB
	Anacron job 'cron daily' on econsoft	Anacron	Do 06:50	1 KB
	exim paniclog on ecopsoft.cs.uni-paderborn.	de has root	Do 06:49	1021 B
	Cron <root@econsoft> [-d /usr/share/dtc/;</root@econsoft>	admin Troot@econsoft.cs.uni-n	derborn Mi 23:34	1 KB
	Anacron job 'cron daily' on econsoft	Anacron	Mi 07:05	1 KB
	exim paniclog on ecopsoft.cs.uni-paderborn	de has root	Mi 07:04	1021 B
	Cron <root@econsoft> [-d /usr/share/dtc/;</root@econsoft>	admin Irnot@econsoft cs uni-n	derhorn Di 23:34	1 KB
	Anacron job 'cron daily' on econsoft	Anacron	Di 07:04	1 KB
	exim papides on econroft or uni-paderhorn	de har root	Di 07:03	1021 8
	Cron cront@cronsoft> [=d /usr/share/dtr/	admin 1 root@econsoft cs uni-n	vierborn Mo 23:34	1 KB
	Anacron job 'cron daib' on econroft	Anacron	Ma 06-36	1 88
	exim paniclog on econsoft cs uni-paderborn	de has root	Mo 06:35	1021 B
	Cron cront/leronsoft> [-d /usr/share/dtc/	admin) root@aconsoft cr uni-n	derborn 03 01 2010 23-34	1 68
	Cron croot@cronsoft> [-d /usr/share/dtc/	admin 1 root@econsoft cs uni-n	derborn 03.01.2010 17:40	1 KB 4
	Anacron job 'cron daib' on econsoft	Anacron	03 01 2010 06:44	1 KB 7
nar Dicken Learon	Auswählen: Alle Ungelesene Keine Speichernlat	7 77	if if Machichten	1 his 40 upp 350 h M

Figure 3. Screenshot of the *eCopSoft* web frontend showing the integrated webmail client for the project e-mail address

Large parts of the *eCopSoft* syste m base o n the Java platform⁷, wh ich en sures reliability, p ortability and scalability. Fu rthermore, wh en it co mes to p roblem so lving, there are numerous existing Ja val ibraries t hat pr ovide finished, tested and proven s olutions to specific problems. This reuse of existing frame works accelerates the whole development p rocess a lo t. To en sure fu ture extensibility and the integration of furt her connect or m odules, eC op-Soft will be developed on an OSGi platform⁸.

IV. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

This pape r i ntroduced t he c oncept of a c ommunityembedded c ollaborative devel opment envi ronment (CCDE) whose main funct ions are to combine classica l approaches from collaborative development environments with the strengths of communities of in terest. We provided requirements on functions of a community of developers as well as funct ional r equirements for a t echnical integration layer to enhance awareness and transparency in social software engineering. With the help of a sophisticated in tegration layer the transparency of the de velopment process can be increased as common events connect the hitherto separated backend systems. Thereby connec-

⁷ <u>http://java.sun.com/</u>

⁸ <u>http://www.osgi.org/About/Technology</u>

tions between artefacts (e.g. wiki articles and Java classes) manifests that have been hidden before. On the other hand an in tegration layer in creases the pers onal awareness by connecting artefacts of a project directly with its contributors and t hus allowing di rect communication. With the help of th e auto matically ex tended developer profile th e expertise and wor king fi elds of a de veloper bec ome clearer. The artefact awaren ess will b e increased by providing related artefacts, ad ditional metadata (se mantic information, classifica tions, used patterns...) and a lucid overview of re cent changes of artefacts. Further more the integration layer will allow anonym ously connecting to developers fr om other project i n order t o get hel p from them.

Although n ot bei ng a cl assical mashup, the present ed CCDE approach connects data from various sources in a way that devel opers and use rs of the community could gain an advantage. In our opinion this advantage turns out to be in the assistance of individual work and the steady learning process by a more transparent process and enhanced awareness on various levels. Furthermore the possibility for a project spanning exchange of domain knowledge and artefacts enhances the dat a exchange and the collaboration within an organisation and thus fosters learning and interrelation. The easier data exchange, the higher awareness of the development process and contextualised data and experts creates an increas ed satisfaction with the whole development process and thus motivates developers.

The present ed prot otype *eCopSoft* is current ly under development at the University of Pad erborn and will be evaluated in s oftware development courses. Furt hermore we plan to run the CCDE as a campus-wide platform for software engineering projects, allowing the exchange of experience and data among multiple projects. The *eCop-Soft* platform furthermore shall reduce t he administrative overhead of providing C DEs to num erous soft ware projects by pr oviding a o ne-click-deployment for new projects. The first evaluation results of *eCopSoft* will be part of another publication.

REFERENCES

- N. Ah madi, M. Jazaye ri, F. Lell i, and S. Nescic, "A survey of social software engineering," in 23rd IEEE/ACM International Conference on Automated Software Engineering - Workshops, pp. 1–12, 2008.
- [2] G. Booch, and A. W. Brown, "Collabor ative development environments," in Advances in Computers, vol. 59, pp. 2–29, 2003.
- [3] K. Beck, Extreme Programming Explained. Embrace Change. Addison-Wesley, 1999.
- [4] J. Cross, Informal Learning Rediscovering the Pathways that inspire innovation and performance. Pfeiffer, 2006.
- [5] P. N. Robillard, "The role of knowledge management in software development," in *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 87-94, 1999.
- [6] W. Reinhar dt, "Communication is the key Support Durable Knowledge Sharing in Software Engineering by Microblogging," in Proceedings of Conference on Software Engineering 2009, Workshop Software Engineering within Social software Environments, 2009
- [7] I. Nonaka et al., "Emergence of "Ba"," in *Knowledge Emergence*, 2001.
- [8] P. Schütt, "Kleine feine Unter schiede: Daten, I nformation und Wissen," in Wissensmanagement 02/2009, pp. 10-12, 2009.
- [9] A. Aur um, F. Daneshgar, J. Ward, "I nvestigating Knowledge Management practices in so ftware develop ment or ganisations –

An Australian experience," in *Information and Software Technology*, vol. 50, pp. 511-533, 2008.

- [10] M. Polyani, *The Tacit Dimension*. Routledge & Kegan Paul, London, 1966.
- [11] P. N. Robillard, and M. P. Robillard, *Types of collaborative work in software engineering*. J. Syst. Softw., vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 219–224, 2000. (doi:10.1016/S0164-1212(00)00013-3)
- [12] A. Sarma, "A sur vey of collab orative tools in s oftware development," Te chnical Report at Unive rsity of Irvine, Institute for Software Research, 2005.
- [13] T. De Marco, and T. Lister, *Peopleware: productive projects and teams*. Dorset House Publishing, New York, 1987.
- [14] C. Jones, *Programming productivity*. Mc Graw-Hill, New York, 1986.
- [15] K. Knorr-C etina, "Sociality with Objects: Social Relations in Postsocial Knowledge Societies," in *Theory, Culture & Society*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 1-30, 1997 (doi:10.1177/026327697014004001)
- [16] Wikipedia. M ashup (web application hy brid). (Revision as o f 10:23, 27.05.2009). Available at <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Mashup_(web_applicati</u> on hybrid)&oldid=292635186

- [17] J. Münch, and P. Liggesmyer (Eds.), Proceedings of the Software Engineering 2009 conference, Workshops. Social Aspects in Software Engineering, 2009.
- [18] J. Herbsleb, and A. Mockus, "An empirical study of speed and communication in globally distributed software development," in *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 481–494, June 2003.

AUTHORS

Wolfgang Reinhardt is Ph.D. student at the Com puter Science Education group at the University of Pa derborn, Germany (e-mail: wolle@upb.de).

Sascha Rinne is doing his graduate studies at the Department of Computer Science at the University of Paderborn (e-mail: rinnes@upb.de).

This work was supported in part by the MATURE project funded by the EU under contract no. 216356 (<u>http://mature-ip.eu</u>).

This article was modified from a presentation at the International Conference of Interactive Computer Aided Learning ICL2009, September 2009 in Villa ch, Austria. Sub mitted 11 Se ptember 2009. Published as resubmitted by the authors on 17 January 2010.



Copyright of International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning is the property of International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.

